

SUBJECT INDEX.

AFGHANISTAN.

Amir, The,

Declines direct relations with Russia (1903), 519 (No. 465); possible suspicions of (1907), 278 (No. 256); consent of to Anglo-Russian Convention, 1907, 573 (No. 512-3 and note); his delay, 574 (No. 514), 575 (No. 516), 576-7 (No. 517), 614 (No. 549); refuses to adhere to, 577 (No. 517, note).

Railway policy, Russian advance, Sir C. Hardinge on, 4 October, 1905, 206-7 (No. 195); Nasratabad-Meshed-Seistan, 300 (Nos. 842-3); M. Isvolski on, 399-400 (No. 358), 428-9 (No. 399), (n. also Persia, sub Seistan); Zulficar, relation of to Afghanistan, 1907, 277 (No. 256); 479 (No. 429).

British special position in regard to British control over foreign relations of, Sir C. Scott on, 3 February, 1902, 514 (No. 465); Lord Lansdowne on, 25 November, 1903, 186 (No. 182), 17 February, 1905, 520-1 (No. 466 (a)), 17 October, 218 (No. 199); M. Isvolski criticizes, 3 July, 1907, 548 (No. 486); British Treaty obligations in respect to frontiers, Sir A. Nicolson on, 10 March, 1907, 487-8 (No. 895); Emperor Nicholas II states he understands British position in regard to the Amir, 25 April, 289 (No. 266).

Position of, how far a "Buffer State," Count Benckendorff and Lord Lansdowne agree on description, 1905, 521 (No. 466 (b)); M. Isvolski's article re, 15 May, 1907, 584-5 (No. 478); Sir E. Grey and Sir C. Hardinge, 585 (No. 478, min.); deleted by Sir E. Grey, 29 May, 587 (No. 481); M. Isvolski on, 19 July, 553-4 (No. 491, encl.); Sir E. Grey on, 8 August, 554-6 (No. 492 and encl.); British not prepared to allow intervention of a third Power in, Sir A. Nicolson on, 20-1 August, 560 (No. 499), 582-3 (No. 504).

Equilibrium of power in, M. Isvolski's proposal re, 19, 20 August, 1907, 558-9 (No. 497), 560 (No. 499); declined by Sir E. Grey, 21 August, 561 (No. 502).

Annexation or appropriation of, by Great Britain, Sir A. Nicolson on, no desire for, 2 April, 1907, 528 (No. 474); Sir E. Grey on, 586-9 (Nos. 480-1); his difficulty in making the pledge unconditional, 8 July, 550 (No. 488); Russian Memo. on, 19 July, 553-4 (No. 491, encl.); further suggestions, 19-20 August, 558-61 (Nos. 497-500).

AFGHANISTAN—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations,

(a) 1900-5, Russian Memo. of 6 February, 1900, advocates direct Russian relations with, Indian Government's objection to this, Lord Salisbury discourages, 512-3 (No. 465); British remonstrances over M. Ignatiev's activities at Kabul, 1900-1, 518-5 (No. 465); Sir C. Scott expounds British relations to Amir, 3 February, 1902, 514 (No. 465); Count Benckendorff's private letter, April 1903, 516 (No. 465); Russia declines British proposals, 21 August, 518 (No. 465); peremptory refusal repeated, 6 October, 519 (No. 465), 621 (App. II); Amir declines to have direct relations with Russia, 517 (No. 465); suggested rapprochement by Lord Lansdowne, November-December, 1903, 520 (No. 466), April, 1904, 50 (No. 48), 189 (No. 183, note); King Edward and, 50 (No. 48, note), 60 (No. 55, note), 188-9 (Nos. 183-4); Lord Lansdowne's assurances to Russia re status quo, 17 February, 8 March, 1905, 520-1 (Nos. 466 (a), (b)); His view that it was useless to remonstrate with Russia over an Afghan frontier incident, 521-2 (No. 466); position of Russia and Afghanistan, 1905, 519-22 (No. 466).

(b) Negotiations leading to Anglo-Russian Convention re Afghanistan and Thibet, 1906-7; British Government to submit views re Afghanistan and Thibet, 7 August, 1906, 241 (No. 226); Sir E. Grey authorises discussions over Afghanistan, 7 September, 1906, 889 (No. 841); Draft instructions to Sir A. Nicolson, May., 831 (No. 810); Sir A. Nicolson unable to give proposals, 19 February, 1907, 275 (No. 258); proposed compromise over frontier agents and proposal for Afghan settlement, 22 February, 483-4 (No. 890); Sir E. Grey on difficulty of British making engagements re Afghanistan, 7 March, 278 (No. 256); Sir A. Nicolson and M. Poklewski, 2 April, 528-9 (No. 474); Colonel Napier and Generals Palitsin and Polivanov, 580-2 (No. 476, encl.); M. Isvolski's Draft Convention, 15 May, 533-5 (No. 478), Text, 541-4 (No. 483); Indian Government on 25 May, 536 (No. 479, note), 540-1 (No. 482, ed. note); British Counter Draft, 29 May, Text, 537-9 (No. 481 and encl.); Sir C. Hardinge and, 540-1 (No. 482, ed. note); comparison of Drafts, Texts, 541-4 (No. 488); Sir A. Nicolson's exchange of

AFGHANISTAN—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations—(continued).

(b) Negotiations, &c.—(continued).

views with M. Isvolski, 17, 25 June, 545–7 (Nos. 484–5); discussion *re* "Russian authorities on the frontier," 3, 8 July, 547–9 (Nos. 486–7); British and, 10 July, 294–5 (No. 274); Sir E. Grey and, 10 July, 551 (No. 489), 19 August, 558 (No. 496), 21 August, 561 (No. 501); *M. Isvolski's Memo.*, 19 July, *Text*, 553–4 (No. 491, *encl.*); Sir E. Grey's counter-memo., 8 August, *Text*, 554–8 (No. 492, *encl.*); Discussions as to form of Afghan Convention, 20 August, 299 (No. 281); hitch in proceedings over commercial clauses, Emperor Nicholas and, 25 August, 584–5 (No. 506); Sir A. Nicolson summarises negotiations, 26 August, 585–8 (No. 508); Final acceptance by Russia, 29 August, 572–3 (Nos. 511–2); Convention signed, 31 August, 1907, *Text*, 541–4 (No. 488), 610 (*App. I*); delay in obtaining Amir's consent, 573–4 (No. 513 and *note*), 575–6 (No. 516), 576–7 (No. 517); Consent of Amir refused, 577 (No. 517, *note*); Convention published, 26 September, 596 (No. 536); Sir A. Nicolson on, 29 January, 1908, 609–12 (No. 548); Joint communication to Persia mentions Afghan frontiers, 588–90 (No. 52^v and *encl.*).

(c) Reception and results of Afghan-Thibet Convention, M. Cambon regards agreement as recognizing British Protectorate, 18 September, 1907, 597 (No. 537); Russia intends to observe spirit of Convention, 21 May, 1908, 575 (No. 515); Sir A. Nicolson on Amir's continued refusal to accept Convention, 19 July, 575–6 (No. 516); Emperor Nicholas II and M. Isvolski on, 576–7 (No. 517).

Perso-Afghan frontier, British Treaty *re*, 1857, and difficulty in placing part in Russian sphere, 277 (No. 256), *v. also* 201 (No. 192).

ALLIANCES (*v. also* Ententes, Treaties).

Anglo-Japanese, 1902, renewed, 1905, Chap. XXIV, 120–82 *passim* (*v. also sub* Japan).

Dual Franco-Russian, 211 (No. 198); terms unknown to Great Britain, 256 (No. 248) (*v. also sub* France).

Franco-German-Russian, proposed, to which United States might be added, 205–6 (No. 195), 211 (No. 198), 214 (No. 201), 217 (No. 203), 224 (No. 209), 604–6 (No. 544).

Triple Alliance, 211 (No. 198).

[16942]

AUSTRIA-HUNGARY.

Albania, Italy's attempt to combat influence of in, 45 (No. 84).

Anglo-Russian entente, public opinion favourable, 4 October, 1907, 600–1 (No. 541).

Hague Conference and armaments, 588–4 (No. 523); activity at St. Petersburg *re*, March 1907, 282–3 (No. 260).

Serbo-Austrian dispute, Count Lamsdorff attacked over, 224 (No. 209).

Thibet, 14 June, 1904, 311 (No. 294).

Turkey,

(a) Straits (*v. also sub* Straits Question), how far interested in Straits question and passage of Dardanelles by Russian Torpedo boats, 42 (No. 32), 48–4 (No. 33); Count Goluchowski and, 42 (No. 32), 48–4 (No. 33).

(b) Macedonia, policy towards, 1897 and 1904, 192 (No. 185), 226 (No. 210); 1906, 224 (No. 209), 226 (No. 210), 235 (No. 219); M. Isvolski and Judicial Reforms in, 248–9 (No. 284); Russia cordial to in 1906, 258 (No. 248); in 1907, M. Isvolski on, 5 September, 1907, 583 (No. 523); in 1907, general, 611–2 (No. 548). (*v. also sub* Press.)

BAGDAD RAILWAY (*v. sub* Persia, Railways).

BALANCE OF POWER.

In Afghanistan. M. Isvolski's proposal *re*, August 1907, 558–9 (No. 497); British decline to admit third power, 560 (No. 499), 561 (No. 502).

In Europe—how maintained—Count Lamsdorff on, 1905, 211 (No. 198).

"Buffer State" (*v. sub* Afghanistan).

CHINA.

"Open door" in 1901, &c., 208 (No. 197); integrity and independence of in Preamble of Anglo-Japanese Treaty of 1905, 165 (No. 155), 211 (No. 198).

Russia's special interest in 1908, 183 (No. 181 (a)); Russo-Japanese proposals *re*, January 1904, 74–5 (No. 68 and *encl.*); Marquis Ito on Russia's intentions, November, 1904, 84–6 (No. 57, *encl.*); Russia's complaints of her neutrality, 69–70 (No. 62, *encl.* and *note*), 70–1 (No. 63).

United States' circular *re* disinterestedness of Neutral Powers, 1905, 69 (No. 61); agreed to, 69 (No. 61, *note*), 71 (No. 64).

References to, in Portsmouth Treaty negotiations, 98 (No. 93), 99–101 (No. 94 and *encl.*), 108–11 (No. 101).

International troops in North, French on German Emperor's proposal, 1905, 217–8 (No. 203), 225 (No. 209); unlikely to disturb Russia, 285 (No. 262 (b)).

Sovereign rights not affected by Anglo-Russian Agreement, 299 (No. 281), 300–1 (No. 282).

CHINA—(continued).

Manchuria,

M. Witte says there is no serious intention of carrying out Russian evacuation of, 80 June, 1904, 8 (No. 8); Marquis Ito and, 21 November, 65 (No. 57, *encl.*); M. Witte on adventure, July 1905, 92 (No. 88); 93 (No. 89); references to in Portsmouth negotiations, 97–8 (No. 98); 99–102 (No. 94, *encl.*).

Japanese control over, 106 (No. 100).

Mongolia and North frontier,

Formula re omitted from Anglo-Russian Agreement, as settled in Russo-Japanese Agreement (*q.v.*), 299 (No. 280).

French fears of Russo-German Agreement *re*, October 1905, 209 (No. 197).

Thibet (*q.v.*) Anglo-Chinese Convention *re*, 27 April, 1906, *Text*, 324–5 (No. 805, *encl.*), 286 (No. 220), 289–40 (No. 224).

CHUMBI VALLEY (*v. sub Thibet*).COREA, EMPIRE OF (*v. also Wei-hai-wei*).

Marquis Ito on Count Lamsdorff's agreement in 1901, 65 (No. 57, *encl.*); Russo-Japanese proposals, January 1904, 74–5 (No. 66, *encl.*); Corean Edict cancelling all Russian Treaties, 19 May, 1904, 112 (No. 102); M. Witte on Russian policy *re*, 80 June, 3–4 (No. 8); Corean-Japanese Agreement *re*, 22 August, 1904, *Text*, 118 (No. 103 and note 2); Japan thinks annexation essential July 1905, 145 (No. 132); Sir J. Jordan supports, 146 (No. 133), and Sir C. MacDonald, 148 (No. 135); Anglo-Japanese renewed agreement, discussion *re* Corea and, 149–50 (No. 136), 151 (No. 137 and *encl.* 2), 153 (No. 141), 159–60 (No. 148), 160–1 (No. 150), 161–3 (No. 151 and *encl.*), 163 (No. 152); Mr. Campbell's omission of paragraph *re* in Anglo-Japanese Agreement, 5 September, 1905, 178 (No. 165), 175 (No. 168), 177 (No. 172 (a)), 178 (No. 172 (b)); Russia on reference to, in Portsmouth Treaty, 28 August, 1905, 218 (No. 208); other references to in Portsmouth negotiations, 97–102 (Nos. 98–4), 108 (No. 100), 107–11 (No. 101). Corean Treaty with Japan accepting Protectorate, 18 October, 1905, 118 (No. 108), *Text*, 118–9 (No. 109); Baron Komura on, 6 November, 117 (No. 107).

DARDANELLES (*v. sub Russo-Japanese War, (b) and sub Straits*).DOGGER BANK INCIDENT (*v. sub Russo-Japanese War*).

EGYPT.

Khedivial Decree, acceptance by Russia of, 190 (No. 184), 191 (No. 185); accepted, 193 (No. 186 and note), 194–5 (No. 188).

ENTENTE.

Franco-British, Emperor Nicholas II does not regard it as anti-German, 218 (No. 202).

Khedivial Decree attached to, adhered to by Russia, 190–8 (Nos. 184–6 and note).

FINLAND.

Russian policy towards, 2 (No. 3).

FRANCE.

Foreign policy,
and Germany,

M. Bompard's opposition to the Franco-German-Russian Alliance, 1905, to Count Witte, 205–6 (No. 195); to Count Lamsdorff, 211–2 (No. 198); as well as to the U.S.A. joining that combination, 211–2 (No. 198), 214–5 (No. 201); M. Paul Cambon on, 217 (No. 203); project dropped, 224–5 (No. 209), but revival discussed by Baron Motono and Sir A. Nicolson, 21 October, 1907, 604–5 (No. 544).

and Great Britain,

Franco-British Entente, adhesion of Russia to Khedivial Decree attached to, 194–5 (No. 188); on Anglo-Russian relations, French press on Dogger Bank incident, 8–9 (No. 9 and min.); M. Paul Cambon on, 22 (No. 21), 50 (No. 43), 56–7 (No. 54); M. Bompard on, 66–7 (No. 58 and min.).

Peace of Portsmouth, M. Delcassé on prospects, 76–7 (No. 68).

Anglo-Russian rapprochement, M. Paul Cambon on, 212–3 (No. 199), 217–8 (No. 203); M. Bompard's advice to Sir A. Nicolson *re*, 238 (No. 222); M. Bompard on M. Isvolski's attitude to, 274 (No. 252); fears German intervention, 282–3 (No. 260).

and Italy,

courting of France by, not so evident, 1903, 46 (No. 84).

and Japan and Far East,

affair of French Naval Attaché at Port Arthur, 89 (No. 30); value attached to Indo-China, 140 (No. 127, *encl.*); M. Bompard on renewed Anglo-Japanese Alliance, 209–12 (Nos. 197–8).

and Morocco,

Great Britain and Russia agree to support France in Conference, 1908, 220 (No. 206).

and Persia (*q.v.*).

and Russia (*v. sub* and Great Britain for Anglo-Russian relations).

Franco-Russian Alliance terms unknown to England, 1906, 258 (No. 243); French fears of its being endangered by Germany, 68 (No. 59); M. Witte on, 77–8 (No. 69); M. Delcassé's resignation a blow to, 197 (No. 190); Russian realization that it would

FRANCE—(continued).

Foreign policy—(continued).
and Russia—(continued).

Franco-Russian Alliance, &c.—
(continued).

be weakened by a Russo-German understanding, 204 (No. 193, *encl.*); M. Crozier on M. Isvolski's attitude to, 235–6 (No. 219), and M. Isvolski's visit to Berlin, 253–4 (No. 240), 267–8 (No. 245); M. Isvolski describes it as the basis of his policy, 254 (No. 240); Sir A. Nicolson on, in general, 1906, 255–6 (No. 248).

GERMANY (v. also sub Press, Germany).

The Emperor William II (1888–1918), Relation to Emperor Nicholas II, general, Sir A. Nicolson on, 1906, 256–7 (No. 248).

Russo-Japanese War and Peace, *rapprochement* over Dogger Bank incident to Russia and proposals for a treaty, 4 (No. 4, *note*); French fears *re*, 68 (No. 59); Emperor's speech attacking Russian officers resented by Emperor Nicholas II, June 1905, 197 (No. 190); King Edward does not object to German pressure on Russia for peace, 80 (No. 78, *min.*); Emperor's message to Emperor Nicholas II, 89 (No. 74 and *ed. note*); 85 (No. 77); his advice to Emperor Nicholas II during peace negotiations at Portsmouth, U.S.A., 94–5 (Nos. 90–1).

Treaty of Björko between the two Emperors, 24 July, 1905, Text, 94–5 (Nos. 90–1 and *ed. note*), 217 (No. 203); Emperor William's meeting with and influence on Count Witte, 202 (No. 193), 205 (No. 195).

Meeting of two Emperors 1907 at Swinemünde, August 1907, M. Isvolski present, 297–8 (Nos. 277–9), 608 (No. 548), 609–10 (No. 548).

Morocco question raised by Emperor William, curt reply of Emperor Nicholas II, 1905, 197 (Nos. 190–1); Emperor Nicholas hopes for peace at Conference, 1906, 220–1 (No. 206), but refuses to appeal to German Emperor, 225 (No. 209).

China, Emperor William's proposal to withdraw international troops from, 1905, 217–8 (No. 208), 225 (No. 209).

Relation to King Edward VII, meeting at Kiel, June 1904, 1 (No. 1 and *note*), 2 (No. 2); Emperor William's belief, in 1904, that England meant to attack Germany, 252 (No. 238).

General foreign policy,
and Armaments and Hague Conference, representation to Russia, 1907, 282 (No. 260).

GERMANY—(continued).

General foreign policy—(continued).
and Bagdad Railway, in relation to Great Britain and Russia, 231 (No. 216), 233 (No. 218), 237 (No. 221), 239 (No. 223); M. Isvolski and, 248, 250 (Nos. 234–5); Prince Bülow on, 412–8 (No. 869).

and China, proposals to withdraw international troops, 1905, 217–8 (No. 208), 225 (No. 209).

and France (*q.v.*).

and Great Britain, *Vanity Fair* and war scare November 1904, 4 (No. 4, *note*); Germany does not wish to make difficulties with Anglo-Russian Entente (1906), 231 (No. 216), 237 (No. 221), 253 (No. 240); (1907), 291–2 (No. 269); but German intervention feared, 234 (No. 218), 252–3 (No. 239), 282 (No. 260); Straits question, Germany to be informed *re* British view, March 1907, 280–1 (No. 258).

and Japan, Germany cannot view with favour an Anglo-Japanese-Russian Agreement, 269 (No. 247).

and Persia (v. sub Persia, Great Powers and, Germany).

and Russia,

General, Germany feared by, 197 (No. 190); M. Hartwig fears a struggle with, 200 (No. 192); Sir A. Nicolson thinks German influence predominant at court, 256 (No. 243).

Commercial Treaty with, Baron Richthofen on, September 1904, 4–5 (No. 4); Russo-German agreement, denied, 5 (No. 4).

Dogger Bank incident (v. sub Russo-Japanese War) and proposals for treaty, 4 (No. 4, *note*); French fears *re*, 68 (No. 59); influence on terms of Portsmouth peace, 1905, 80 (No. 74), 100–3 (No. 94, *encl.* 2, *ed. note*); M. Witte fears German power, May 1905, 77 (No. 69), but reverts to a pro-German policy, October, 202 (No. 193), 205 (No. 195), 209 (No. 197); Count Lansdorff anti-German, and proposed agreement with Germany dropped, 214 (No. 201), 224–5 (No. 209).

Germany refuses loan to Russia, 1906, 230 (No. 215); M. Isvolski's visit to Berlin, 1906, his account to Sir F. Lascelles, 246–8 (No. 234); Sir A. Nicolson on, 249–50 (No. 235), Sir F. Lascelles on, 251–2 (No. 238); M. Bompard on, 253–4 (No. 240), 267–8 (No. 245); M. Isvolski's solicitude for Germany, 269 (No. 247), but states he has told the Emperor William and Prince Bülow that Franco-Russian alliance is the basis of his policy, 254 (No. 240).

GREAT BRITAIN (v. also *sub* Afghanistan, Persia, Press, Russia, Russo-Japanese War (Dogger Bank Incident), Thibet).

King Edward VII meets German Emperor at Kiel, 1904, 1 (No. 1 and note); King's conversation with M. Isvolski at Copenhagen, 1904, 188 (No. 183, and note), 189 (No. 184); *Private letter to Emperor Nicholas II, May 1904*, 190 (No. 185), and message, 195 (No. 189); message to Emperor Nicholas on internal reform and his reply, October 1905, 215 (No. 202), advice to Emperor Nicholas about internal reform, Japan no reason to fear engagements, 2 (No. 2); Count Witte's desire for British Entente and to arrange a visit of the King to Peterhof, difficulties in the way, 1906, 221 (No. 207), 228 (No. 208), 227 (No. 211), 231 (No. 215, ed. note); *King Edward's private letter to Sir A. Nicolson on conclusion of Anglo-Russian Agreement, September 17, 1907*, 595-6 (No. 535).

Defence, Committee of Imperial, Mr. A. J. Balfour's speech on 11 May, 1905, 194 (No. 189).

ITALY.

and Austria-Hungary, attempts to combat influence in Albania, 45 (No. 84).

and France, rapprochement not so evident, 1903, 46 (No. 84).

and Germany, Foreign Minister caused to fall by, 225 (No. 209).

and Russia, 258 (No. 243).

JAPAN.

General,

In favour of war till Russia sues for peace, 71 (No. 65); attitude towards Anglo-Russian Agreement, 268-9 (No. 246).

Anglo-Japanese Agreement—Renewal of Treaty in 1905,

(a) *Negotiations re*,

Japan denies Russo-Japanese Agreement with a view to isolating England, 18 February, 1905, 120 (No. 110); Viscount Hayashi and Lord Lansdowne discuss possible renewal of, 120-3 (Nos. 111-8); *Japanese draft*, 10 May, 1905, *Text*, 123-4 (No. 114 and encl.); Lord Lansdowne's suggestions, 124-5 (No. 115); accepted by Japanese Government in principle, 125-6 (Nos. 116-7); British reply to Japan, 182 (No. 119); *Agreement, Text* (80 January, 1902), 128-30 (No. 118); Viscount Hayashi's Draft heads (26 May, 1905), 128-30 (No. 118 and note); question of naval force, 183 (No. 122 and min.), 183-4 (Nos. 123-4); *Revised British draft and Notes*, (5 June 1905), *Text*, 184-7 (Nos. 125-6); War Office observations, 187-40 (No. 127 and encl.); Lord Lansdowne's minute, 140 (No. 127, min.); Japanese counter draft,

JAPAN—(continued).

.*Anglo-Japanese Agreement*—(continued).

(a) *Negotiations re*—(continued).

Japan denies, &c.—(continued).

140-5 (Nos. 128-31); Japan on invasion of India, 145 (No. 182); Japan on British draft, 146-7 (No. 184); discussion *re* Corea (v. *sub* Corea), 149-50 (No. 186); British draft of note *re* Article III, 151 (No. 187 and encl. 2); Mr. Balfour on, 151 (No. 198); Sir C. MacDonald and, 152 (Nos. 189-40), 153-4 (No. 142), 155 (No. 144), 157-9 (No. 147); British corrections of Articles III and IV, 158 (No. 141); Lord Lansdowne on Preamble, 155-6 (No. 146); Japan and Article III, 159-60 (No. 148); British Concessions *re* Articles IV and VII, 160 (No. 150); Japan and Articles III and IV, 161-3 (No. 151 and encl.); Lord Lansdowne on reply, 168 (No. 152); Treaty signed 12 August, 184 (No. 154); *First British counter-draft*, 10 June, *Text*, 164-9 (No. 155); *Japanese revised draft*, 28 June, *Text*, 164-9 (No. 156); *Final Text*, 12 August, 1905, 164-9 (No. 155); No secret Articles, 172 (No. 163), 175 (No. 169).

(b) *Communication of renewed Agreement*,

To President Roosevelt, 170 (Nos. 157-8), 172 (No. 162), 178-80 (No. 178), 181 (No. 176); to France, 171 (No. 160), 172 (No. 162), 174-5 (No. 168), 176-7 (Nos. 171-2 (a)); to Germany, 181 (Nos. 177-8); to Russia, 170-1 (Nos. 159-60), 172 (No. 162), 172 (No. 164), 174 (No. 166), 175-6 (Nos. 168-70), 178 (No. 172 (b)), 180 (No. 175); publication not to be hurried, 171-2 (Nos. 161-2).

(c) *Reception of publication of renewed Agreement*,

France, M. Bompard on, 211-2 (No. 198).

Germany, suspicious of, 181 (No. 178), 200 (No. 192).

Anglo-Japanese Agreement, Text published, 174 (No. 166, ed. note), 182 (Nos. 179-80).

Russia, Russian War party and, 180 (No. 174); effect on Russian press, 202-4 (No. 193 and encl.), 204-5 (No. 194); Russian view generally, 217-8 (No. 203); Count Benckendorff on, 180 (No. 175), 204-5 (No. 194); Count Witte on, 205-7 (No. 195); Count Lamsdorff on, 176 (No. 170), 211-2 (No. 198), 214 (No. 201); Emperor Nicholas II on, 216 (No. 202); Lord Lansdowne denies to Russia that it extends to Turkey, 213-4 (No. 200), as does Sir E. Grey to Turkey, 228-9

JAPAN—(continued).

Anglo-Japanese Agreement—(continued).

(c) Reception, &c.—(continued).

Russia—(continued).

(No. 218 and *encl.*); Count Witte stated to have urged a coalition of France, Russia and Germany against the Anglo-Japanese Alliance, 205 (No. 196), and to include U.S.A., 224 (No. 209); Germany cannot favour an agreement between England, Russia and Japan, 269 (No. 247).

Anglo-Russian Agreement,

Rumour *re* denied by Sir E. Grey, 28 May, 1906, 238 (No. 220); Japan informed *re* Thibet, 15 June, 1906, 240–1 (No. 225).

Russo-Japanese Agreement, 1907,

Some papers advocated Alliance in 1904 after the War, 40 (No. 81); Agreement, 1907, 268–9 (Nos. 246–7), 273 (No. 250), 274–5 (No. 252); British desire to promote, 279 (No. 256); Russian guarantees in Asia, 284–5 (Nos. 262 (a) (b)), 299 (No. 280); possible Anglo-Russian-Japanese Agreement, 1906, 254–5 (No. 242), 1907, 268–9 (Nos. 246–7); French desire for, 274–5 (No. 252); German suspicion of, 269 (No. 247).

Russo-Japanese War (q.v.).

MACEDONIA.

Austria-Hungary and, v. sub Austria-Hungary.

MANCHURIA (v. sub China).

MOROCCO CONFERENCE.

Russia resents raising of question by Germany, curt reply of Emperor Nicholas II, 197, 199 (Nos. 190–1), British to support France, Russian Emperor agrees, 220 (No. 206); Emperor Nicholas II refuses to appeal to Emperor William II over, 225 (No. 209); mentioned, 216 (No. 202).

MUKDEN.

Japanese victory at, 1905, and Russian situation after, 75–6 (No. 67), 84 (No. 76).

PERSIA.

*Government: Executive,**Shah, Muzaffur-ud-Din* (1898–1907).

Sir A. Hardinge on character of, 875 (No. 822); desire to preserve as ruler of neutral State, 876–7 (No. 822); Sir E. Grey states that new Government is unchanged in policy towards, 1906, 877 (No. 828); health improved, May, 282 (No. 218); anti-British surroundings of, October, 407 (No. 865); promises to summon Assembly, August 1906, opens in person, October 7; bad health and death, January 1907. 858 (*ed. note*).

PERSIA—(continued).

Government: Executive—(continued).

Shah, Mohammed Ali (1907–9), eldest son succeeds his father, January 1907, and promises obedience to Constitution, February, 858 (*ed. note*), 424 (*ed. note*), 425 (No. 884, *note*); wishes Anglo-Russian advance, 426 (No. 886 *n*); Russian influence with, against Assembly, April, 457 (No. 412); receives advance from Russia, November, 509 (No. 464); possible danger of, 510 (No. 464); deposed and exiled, July 1909, 858 (*ed. note*).

Shah, Sultan Ahmed (1909–24), Second son of Shah Mohammed Ali, recognized as Valiahd by Russia and Great Britain, January 11, 1907, and proclaimed, 424 (*ed. note*); succeeds his father as Shah and is associated with a Regency, July 1909, 858 (*ed. note*).

Prince Malik Mansur, second son of Shah Muzaffur-ud-Din, 857 (No. 819).

Executive,

Mushir-ul-Mulk on dangerous situation, 21 June, 1907, 474–5 (No. 427); danger of conflict with Assembly, December 1907, 507–8 (No. 483 and *note*); M. Isvolski and, 14 November, 607 (No. 548); Sir A. Nicolson on, 509 (No. 464). (v. also *infra*, Anglo-Russian Entente.)

British difficulties with, 1902, 869–71 (No. 821 (a)), 1907, 474–5 (No. 427), 508–9 (No. 464).

Constitution how granted, 858 (*ed. note*), 424 (*ed. note*); Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 424–5 (No. 883).

National Assembly and Public opinion.

Nationalism. Pan-Islamism and, 11 October, 1906, 404 (No. 860); Constitution, description of, 424 (No. 889); Sir C. Spring-Rice on British policy, 12 October, 1906, 404–5 (No. 861), 405 (No. 862); France and, 405–6 (No. 863); Loans in relation to National Assembly, 419–20 (No. 876); Change in attitude to Great Britain, Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 21 December, 1906, 420–1 (No. 877); popular disturbance at Tabriz, February 1907, 425–6 (No. 884); Anglo-Russian policy *re* internal affairs, 1907, 422–3 (No. 881); Russian attitude at Tehran different from that at St. Petersburg, April 1907, 457 (No. 412); probable attitude to Anglo-Russian Convention, 11 April, 1907, 450–3 (No. 409); Finance dependent on Assembly, June 1907, 475 (No. 427); Sir E. Grey's alterations in Draft Convention to conciliate, June 1907, 465–8 (No. 417); Reception of news of Anglo-Russian Convention by Assembly and Public, 18 September, 588–9 (No. 529); Mirza Hassan Khan on loans and Convention, 24 September.

PERSIA—(continued).**National Assembly, &c.—(continued).**

597-9 (No. 588); dangerous attitude of Assembly to Shah, December 1907, 507 (No. 468 and note); Zil-es-Sultan and, 444 (No. 402), 449-50 (No. 408 and note).

Army and armed forces,

Russia and Persian Cossacks, 867 (No. 821).

Banks (v. sub Finance).**Commerce and Customs,**

Persian pledge not to put Customs of S. Persia under a third Power's control (1897, 1900), 870 (No. 821 (a)); British Commerce; figures of, for parts of the Gulf, 868 (No. 821); Trade sphere indicated by Sir M. Durand, 860-1 (No. 819); Lord Lansdowne on "equal opportunity," 17 October, 1905, 213 (No. 109); temporary truce in Anglo-Russian rivalry, September 1906, 400 (No. 854); (v. after this date under Anglo-Russian negotiations); oil, D'Arcy concession, 11 April, 1907, 450 (No. 409).

Finance and Banks,

Finance. Russian loan to Persia of 1899, 879 (No. 826); and of 1900, 872 (No. 821 (b)); British financial advances, 1903-4, 871 (No. 821); effect of in checking Russian influence, Sir A. Hardinge, 1905, 878 (No. 821 (b)); Sir E. Grey refuses to give a loan, 2 February, 1906, 878 (No. 825); possible German loan (*v. infra*, Germany), May, 882-3 (Nos. 829-30); M. Isvolski denies fact of Russian loan, 883-4 (No. 831); M. Isvolski on German loan, 12 September, 1906, 242 (No. 228); asks for British views on a joint loan, 886-7 (No. 838); (*v. infra*, Anglo-Russian Entente).

Banks. British policy as regards, Sir A. Hardinge on, 1906, 874 (No. 821 (c)), 877 (No. 822); Deutsche Bank, 283 (No. 218); Imperial Bank of Persia, 440 (No. 395, *encl.*); 448 (No. 398), 462 (No. 416, *encl.*), 468 (No. 417), 469 (No. 418), 472 (No. 422); Banque d'Escompte of Persia, 462, 464 (No. 416, *encl.*), 467-8 (No. 417, *encl.*), 469 (No. 418), 472 (No. 422); French banks to be discouraged from lending to Persia, 1907, 443 (No. 399); German Bank, 893 (No. 348), 896 (No. 351), 418 (No. 369), 418 (No. 374).

Railways,

Bagdad Railway and, May 1906, 882-3 (No. 829); Prince Bülow on, 412 (No. 369); Herr von Schoen on, 417-8 (No. 374); also mentioned, 496 (No. 448, *encl.*); M. Isvolski on, 430 (No. 388).

PERSIA—(continued).**Railways—(continued).**

Russian railway policy, Central Asian, Sir A. Nicolson on, 2 January, 1907, 259 (No. 248); effect on Afghanistan, 1905, 206-7 (No. 195).

Future railways,

Lines likely to be constructed as a result of Anglo-Russian negotiations (*q.v. infra*), 450-2 (No. 409); Mushir-ul-Mulk on, 1907, 475 (No. 427); effect of Anglo-Russian Convention on British railway lines, September 1907, 505 (No. 458).

Telegraph lines,

M. Isvolski's regrets re Seistan, 247 (No. 234); Seistan, 894 (No. 849), 428 (No. 888), 441-2 (No. 897 and *encl.*); Khanikin, 450-1 (No. 409); General, 470 (No. 421); Anglo-Russian negotiations, Great Britain to control Meshed-Seistan, Russia, Meshed-Tehran, 1907, 275 (No. 253), 885-6 (No. 855, and note), 890 (No. 842), 428 (No. 888), 472 (No. 428), 485 (No. 438, and min.), 501 (No. 454); Sir C. Hardinge summarises desiderata, 10 July, 294-5 (No. 274); Concessions of Russia *re*, August, 500-1 (Nos. 453-4); Anglo-Russian Convention (*q.v. infra* and), 503-4 (No. 456).

Water Award. Persian difficulty *re*, Sir C. Hardinge on, 26 September, 1905, 201 (No. 192).

**Great Powers and,
France,**

And Persian Gulf, 1899, 356-7 (No. 319); to discourage French loans to Persia, 16 March, 1907, 443 (No. 399); on British Declaration *re*, 1907, 507 (No. 462).

Germany,

Inquiries *re* Anglo-Russian Agreement, 23 May, 1906, 281 (No. 216), 24 May, 283 (No. 218); new Persian policy, 24 April, 1906, 381 (No. 328); Russia recognizes her interest in Bagdad Railway, 23 May, 1906, 231 (No. 216); her interests as commercial not political, 396 (No. 351); possible German loan to Persia, 882-3 (Nos. 829-30), 387 (No. 886), 391 (No. 844), 391 (No. 345); Herr von Stumm disbelieves, and German Government would refrain from, 2 October, 1906, 401 (No. 356); German Bank in Persia, 393 (No. 848), 396 (No. 851), 418 (No. 369); Herr von Schoen on, 4 December, 1906, 418 (No. 374); Turco-Persian frontier and German Emperor, October 1906, 402 (No. 358); German view of Anglo-Russian Agreement, 406 (No. 368);

PERSIA—(continued).

Great Powers and—(continued).

Germany—(continued).

Inquiries, &c.—(continued).

M. Isvolski on, 409 (No. 367), 411–8 (Nos. 368–9); "Open door" to Germany, 80 November, 1906, 254–5 (No. 242); Prince Bülow demands "open door" for trade, 409 (No. 367), 411 (No. 368), 412 (No. 369), 417 (No. 374); and Bagdad railway, 412 (No. 369), 417–8 (No. 374); and Persian Gulf, April 1907, 453–4 (No. 410), 488 (No. 439); Sir E. Grey on clauses to favour "open door," 21 June, 478 (No. 425); Assent of Russia to, 28 June, 488 (No. 432).

Great Britain,

Anglo-Persian Treaty of 1857, 440 (No. 895, *encl.*); integrity of Persia, assurances to Persia *re*, Anglo-Russian, 1884 and 1888, 370 (No. 321 (a)); British assurances, Lord Lansdowne, 1902, 370 (No. 321 (a)); Sir E. Grey's assurances, 1906, 377 (No. 323), 380 (No. 327); lays down general policy of non-interference, August, 384 (No. 332); reassures Persia as to our negotiations with Russia, 1 October, 400 (No. 355); Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 7 October, 401–2 (No. 358); proposed Anglo-Russian declaration, 18 October, 405 (No. 362); Sir E. Grey on, 407 (No. 365, *note*); Sir A. Nicolson states policy not one of ordinary partition, 8 May, 1907, 292–3 (No. 271); Sir C. Spring-Rice points out there is no formal engagement *re* integrity of Persia in Anglo-Russian Agreement, 8 May, 1907, 451 (No. 409); Sir E. Grey on, 471 (No. 421).

British interests in special areas of, or near, Persia,

(a) *Persian Gulf and South Persia.* British and *status quo*. Lord Salisbury's statement to Persia *re* British policy in Gulf, 15 April, 1899, 364–5 (No. 320); confirmed by Lord Lansdowne, 6 January, 1902, 370 (No. 321 (a)); Russian and German activities in, 1899, 358–8 (No. 319); Lord Lansdowne's Declaration in the Lords that attempt of third Power to establish naval base or fortified port in Gulf is a grave menace and would be resisted, 5 May, 1903, 370 (No. 321 (a)), 371 (No. 321), 454 (No. 410), 478–9 (No. 429); Russia and, 1903, 183–4 (Nos. 181 (a) and (b)); Sir A. Hardinge on, 1905, 376 (No. 322); France and, 1899,

PERSIA—(continued).

Great Powers and—(continued).

Great Britain—(continued).

British interests, &c.—(continued).

(a) *Persian Gulf, &c.*—(continued). 356–7 (No. 819); Turkey and, 357 (No. 819); Lord Lansdowne informed Russia disinterested in, 5 October, 1905, 208 (No. 196); Sir E. Grey's possible policy *re*, 10 August, 1906, 241–2 (No. 227); M. Isvolski on, September, 393 (No. 348); is Mohammerah to be included in? February 1907, 481 (No. 389); British offer to protect Russia's interests in, 7 April, 449 (No. 407); Germany and, April 17, 453–4 (No. 410); British wish to insert clause *re* *status quo* in Convention, June, 465 (No. 417), 470 (No. 421); opposition by Russia, 475–6 (No. 428), 477–9 (No. 429); Mr. L. Mallett and Sir C. Hardinge on, 476–7 (No. 428, *min.*); Sir E. Grey's reply and concessions *re*, 481–95 (Nos. 436–46); Indian Government objects to, July, 495–6 (No. 448); proposed British Declaration as to *status quo*, *Text*, 497 (*ed. note*); *Final British Declaration*, 29 August, 1907, *Text*, 501–2 (No. 455); France, M. Louis on, 507 (No. 462).

(b) *Sistan.* Lord Lansdowne asks Russia to admit British influence, 187 (No. 182); 1902, 370 (No. 321 (a)); Russia and, 1903, 185 (No. 181 (b)), 187 (No. 182); M. Isvolski on railway, 29 September, 1906, 399 (No. 353); Russian General Staff opposed to British occupation of, 4 November, 408 (No. 367); Sir A. Nicolson and M. Isvolski on, 7 November, 250 (No. 238); Count Benckendorff on, February 1907, 272 (No. 250); Sir E. Grey on, 414 (No. 370); Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 423 (No. 381); M. Isvolski on, 19 February, 1907, 429 (No. 388); (v. also *supra* under Telegraph lines).

(c) *Perso-Afghan Frontier*, 201 (No. 192), 277 (No. 258).

Russia (v. also *supra*, Finance, National Assembly and public opinion, Railways, Telegraph lines).

Sir A. Hardinge (1905) describes her policy as aiming at a "veiled protectorate" in Persia, 372 (No. 321 (b)); sinister designs feared by Persian Minister at St. Petersburg, 220 (No. 205).

Russian interests in special spheres of, or adjoining, Persia,

(a) Khorassan, Russification of, 372 (No. 321 (b)).

PERSIA—(continued).

Great Powers and—(continued).

Russia—(continued).

Russian interests, &c.—(continued).

- (b) North Persia and Tehran—hold upon, 1899, 367 (No. 321); British recognize her superior interest in, 1905, 869 (No. 321 (a)).

- (c) Persian Gulf (*q.v.*) and South Persia (*q.v.*).

- (d) Seistan (*q.v.*).

- (e) Public opinion and press—favourable to entente with Great Britain, 408 (No. 367); *Novoe Vremya, Oko*, 408 (No. 367); (*v. infra* Anglo-Russian relations).

Anglo-Russian relations, in respect to,

- (a) *Anglo-Russian relations* (1834–1906), Anglo-Russian Agreements generally (1834–78), 859 (No. 819); *re* Turco-Persian frontier (*q.v.*), 1865, 453 (No. 410); Anglo-Russian joint action rejected by Sir M. Durand, 1895 and 1899, 859 (No. 819); suggested *rapprochement* by Lord Lansdowne, 17 November, 1903, 183–4 (No. 181 (a)); King Edward VII and 29 April, 1904, 50 (No. 48, *note*), 189 (No. 183, *note*), 189 (No. 184); Sir A. Hardinge on, 1905, 876–7 (No. 822); possibility of new *rapprochement*, Lord Lansdowne on, 5 October, 1905, 207–8 (No. 196); Emperor Nicholas II and King Edward VII's message, 24 October, 1905, 215 (No. 202); Sir E. Grey's view, 18 December, 1905, 218 (No. 204); Sir E. Grey denies to Persian Government that an Anglo-Russian understanding exists, 6 March, 1906, 880 (No. 827); possibility of Anglo-Russian loan, April 1906, 230 (No. 214); Sir E. Grey's answer in Parliament *re rapprochement*, 24 May, 1906, 232 (No. 217); Sir A. Nicolson suggests conversations with Russia, 29 May, 237–8 (No. 221); Emperor Nicholas II on, 5 June, 238 (No. 222); M. Isvolski desires *modus vivendi*, August 1906, 884 (No. 832).

- (b) *Anglo-Russian Rapprochement and Convention, 1906–7*. Sir C. Hardinge to ask Russian views *re* Persia, 7 August, 241 (No. 226); Sir E. Grey authorizes Sir A. Nicolson, 7 September, 1906, 889 (No. 841); M. Isvolski on German loan, 12 September, 242 (No. 228); proposals for joint loan, 891 (Nos. 844–5); M. Isvolski and Anglo-Russian "spheres of influence," 892–4 (Nos. 847–9);

PERSIA—(continued).

Great Powers and—(continued).

Russia—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations—(continued).

- (b) *Anglo-Russian, &c.*—(continued). M. Isvolski's and Sir E. Grey's general objection to joint loan, 2 October, 401 (No. 357); Sir E. Grey wishes Kerman in British sphere, 407 (No. 366); Anglo-Russian immediate financial advance, 4 November, 408 (No. 367); Russian General Staff's anti-British influence on Emperor Nicholas II, 408, 410 (No. 367); Sir E. Grey's Draft Agreement, 17 November, 1906, *Text*, 415–6 (No. 371 and *encl.*); M. Isvolski and Persian Prime Minister and Joint loan contract, 2 December, 416 (No. 372); Letters of M. Isvolski and Sir A. Nicolson, December, 419–20 (No. 376 and *encl.*), 421 (No. 378 and *encl.*); Sir A. Nicolson on M. Isvolski's hesitation over joint loan, 1907, 266 (No. 244); Emperor Nicholas II desires agreement, 27 January, 1907, 272 (No. 249); joint advance to be made direct to the Shah, February, 426–7 (No. 386); Russian General Staff more conciliatory, 10 February, 272 (No. 250); M. Isvolski's Draft, *Text*, 20 February, 431–3 (No. 389 and *encl.*); Sir E. Grey on, 8 March, 435–6 (No. 398); Sir E. Grey opposes joint declaration *re* internal affairs, March, 436–7 (No. 394); M. Isvolski on Draft Convention, 10 March, *Text*, 437–40 (No. 395 and *encl.*); M. Isvolski on possible Russian action in N. Persia, 25 March, 444–5 (No. 403 and *encl.*); Sir C. Spring-Rice on, 11 April, 450–3 (No. 409); Third Power and neutral zone, 441–3 (Nos. 397–401); Russian concessions *re* Zulficar, 2 April, 445–7 (No. 404 and *encl.*); Sir E. Grey on, 1 May, 292 (No. 270); Sir E. Grey does not accept Lord Percy's suspicions of Russia's good faith, 1 May, 292 (No. 270), nor does Sir A. Nicolson, 8 May, 292–3 (No. 271); Customs and loans, negotiations, 22 April, 455 (No. 411, *encl.*), 459 (No. 418 and *encl.*); M. Isvolski's amendments, 5 June, 461–5 (No. 416 and *encl.*); Sir E. Grey's revised proposals, 6 June, *Text*, 465–8 (No. 417 and *encl.*); Persian Gulf (*q.v.*) difficulties *re*, 465 (No. 417), 475–6 (No. 428), 476–7 (No. 428, *min.*), 477–8 (No. 429); New trace of Russian sphere, July,

PERSIA—(continued).

Great Powers and—(continued).

Russia—(continued).

Anglo-Russian relations—(continued).

- (b) *Anglo-Russian, &c.*—(continued).
 494–5 (No. 447 and encl.); Convention, 31 August, *Text*, 502–4 (No. 456, encl.), 618 (App. I); ratifications, 504 (No. 456, note); to be communicated to Persia if Russia agrees, 504 (No. 457). [v. also *supra*, Railways, Telegraph lines, Persian Gulf, Seistan.] *Reception by Persia.* Proposed joint communication to Persia, 4 September, *Text*, 580–1 (No. 521 and encl.); communication, 11 September, 589–90 (No. 529, encl. and ed. note); certain material withheld from Persia, 25 September, 599 (No. 589); M. Isvolski fears difficulties between, 5 September, 582–3 (No. 523); publication in Persia itself, 18 September, 590–2 (No. 530); Sir A. Nicolson congratulated by King Edward VII, 17 September, 595–6 (No. 535); and by Sir E. Grey, 18 September, 596–7 (No. 537); M. Paul Cambon thinks England has gained by the Convention, 18 September, 597 (No. 537); Sir F. Bertie con municates summary of Convention to M. Clemenceau, 9 September, 587–8 (No. 527); and full version to French Government, 25 September, 507 (No. 462); Sir E. Grey discourages asking other Powers to join Convention, 14 September, 594 (No. 588); reception in German and Austro-Hungarian Press on whole favourable, 1, 4 and 8 October, 599–600 (No. 540), 600–1 (No. 541), 601–2 (No. 542); M. Isvolski thinks German Government unfavourable, 14 November, 608 (No. 546); Emperor Nicholas II's pleasure at, 23 October, 606 (No. 545); Sir E. Grey's speech at Berwick and M. Isvolski's congratulations, 25 December, 609 (No. 547); F.O. Memo. on Anglo-Russian Convention, *Text*, 20 January, 1908, 612–6 (No. 549); Sir E. Grey's own satisfaction, 24 February, 1908, 616 (No. 550).

Turkey. Dispute over Turco-Persian frontier, 1907, Sir A. Nicolson on, 510–1 (No. 464).

PORT ARTHUR.

Effect of Japanese capture of, 12 January, 1905, 68 (No. 60).

PRESS.

Austro-Hungarian,

General,

Anglo-Russian Agreement favourably received, 582 (No. 522).

Individual papers,

Neue Freie Presse, M. Isvolski contradicts report that he had given terms of Anglo-Russian Agreement to Prince Bülow, 10 August, 1907, 208 (No. 279).

Pester Lloyd, 44 (No. 34).*British,*

General,

Dogger Bank incident, attitude resented by Emperor Nicholas II, 31 October, 1904, 25–6 (No. 24); Press not controlled by British Government, 26 (No. 24); M. Bompard on anti-Russian attitude of, 67 (No. 59); favourable to *rapprochement*, with Russia, 1905, 215 (No. 202); on alleged Anglo-Russian Agreement, 232 (No. 217).

Individual papers,

Daily Graphic, 5 (No. 4).*Daily Mail*, 52 (No. 47, note).

Standard, 89 (No. 80); report on Anglo-Russian entente, May 1906, 288 (No. 218 and note).

Times, on alleged Russo-German Agreement, September 1904, 4–5 (No. 4); revision of Thibetan Convention, 23 September, 1904, 817–8 (No. 299); on Anglo-Japanese Agreement, 1905, 121 (No. 111), 205 (No. 194); on Anglo-Russian Agreement, 1907, 609 (No. 547).

Vanity Fair, and German war scare, 4 (No. 4, note).

French.

General,

Attitude unsatisfactory over Dogger Bank incident, 8–9 (No. 9); King Edward on, 9 (No. 9, min.); Sir E. Monson on, 1904, 68 (No. 59); attacks on Russian governing class, 256 (No. 248).

Individual papers,

Echo de Paris, 89 (No. 80).

Matin, revelations *re* Anglo-French entente discredited by Emperor Nicholas II, 216 (No. 202).

German.

General,

M. Bompard on, 67 (No. 58). Asserts Emperor William II is going to meet King Edward, Spring of 1906, 227 (No. 211).

Large section sympathetic towards Russia, 1906, 256–7 (No. 248).

Russian.

General,

French influence on, 209 (No. 197); details as to censorship and control, 39 (No. 80), but not necessarily indicative of Russian Government, 206 (No. 195); press advocates peace

PRESS—(continued).

Russian—(continued).

General—(continued).

French influence on—(continued).

after Mukden with two exceptions, 75 (No. 67); and after Tsushima with a few exceptions, 83–4 (No. 76); opposed to Muraviev's appointment as first plenipotentiary, 91 (No. 88); M. Witte and bluffing tactics of, 98 (No. 89); on Japanese terms, 98–7 (No. 92); on Anglo-Japanese agreement, 203–4 (No. 193, *encl.*), 206 (No. 195), 208–9 (No. 197); attacks on Count Lamsdorff over Serbo-Austrian dispute, 224 (No. 209); outbreak in Afghanistan, 1908, 574 (No. 514).

Individual papers,

Bourse, 574 (No. 514).*Local German-Russian*, 204 (No. 193, *encl.*).*Moskovskiya Vedomosti* advocates war after Mukden, 75 (No. 67).

Novoe Vremya, general, 89 (No. 80), 574 (No. 514); Anglophobe, 208 (No. 197), advocates war after battle of Mukden, 75 (No. 67); after battle of Sea of Japan, 83 (No. 76); after peace of Portsmouth, 198 (No. 191), 208 (No. 193 and *encl.*), 208 (No. 197); hostile to Government, 581 (No. 521); attacks England over Seistan, 201 (No. 192); advocates Anglo-Russian Agreement, 408 (No. 367).

Novosti, 204 (No. 193, *encl.*).*Oko*, 408 (No. 367).*Retch*, 354 (No. 817, *note* (1)); 574 (No. 514).*Russ* (under Russian Foreign Office), 39 (No. 80), 203–4 (No. 193, *encl.*).*Slovo*, 204 (No. 193, *encl.*).

RUSSIA.

Internal.

Emperor Nicholas II, character and views, 1908, Sir A. Nicolson on, 260–1 (No. 248); anti-British influences in entourage, 258 (No. 240); Cabinet moderately pro-British, sketches of principal members in 1908, 261–2 (No. 248).

Reform,

Emperor's first manifesto *re*, 5 (No. 4, *ed. note*), his views on the disorders, 1908, 221 (No. 206); Sir E. Grey on possibility of revolution, 242 (No. 227); situation quieter owing to M. Stolypin, 249 (No. 284), 266 (No. 244); M. Witte on Finland, 2 (No. 8).

Finances, 1908, 264–5 (No. 248); Count Witte's policy, 1905–6, 215 (No. 202), 219–20 (No. 205).

Russian General Staff, anti-British, 1908–7, 242–3 (No. 229), 250 (No. 286), 258

RUSSIA—(continued).

Internal—(continued).

Russian General Staff—(continued).

(No. 240), 259–60 (No. 248), 266 (No. 244), but ready to make some concessions, 273 (No. 250, *min.*); opposed to giving up Seistan, 408 (No. 867); military policy and armaments, 1908, 262–4 (No. 248); Sir A. Nicolson considers policy now (1907) defensive not aggressive, 283 (No. 260), and considers "forward policy" renounced in the East, 283 (No. 260); Armaments, 1908, 262–5 (No. 248).

Parties and public opinion,

Anti-British party in Russia, strength of, 199 (No. 191), 228 (No. 210), 250 (No. 236), 258 (No. 240).

Duma favourable to Great Britain, 226 (No. 210), but hostile to Government, 224 (No. 209), 273 (No. 251).

Public opinion, 97 (No. 92), 247 (No. 234).

(v. also *sub Press, Russia.*)

Foreign policy,

and Afghanistan (*q.v.*).

and Austria-Hungary, 258 (No. 248.)

and France (v. *sub France*).and Germany (v. *sub Germany*, and for relations of Emperor Nicholas II with Emperor William II).

and Great Britain,

Anglo-Russian Entente,

(a) First attempts at (1903–5), Lord Lansdowne and Count Benckendorff, November 1903, 188–4 (181 (a)), 188–8 (No. 182); King Edward's conversation with M. Isvolski at Copenhagen, April 1904, 188 (No. 183), and with M. Paul Cambon, 189 (No. 183, *ed. note*); Sir C. Hardinge's reception as Ambassador, 190–1 (No. 185), and by Emperor Nicholas II, May 1904, 193–4 (No. 187); interruption of *pourparlers* by Russo-Japanese War, 195 (*ed. note*); *pourparlers* resumed by King Edward's message to Emperor Nicholas II, 30 May, 1905, 195–6 (No. 189); Khedivial Decree accepted by Russia (v. *sub Egypt*); M. Hartwig and pro-British policy, 199–201 (No. 192).

(b) Pro-German phase (1905), Treaty of Björko, 24 July, 1905, *Text*, 94–5 (Nos. 90–1, *ed. note*), 217 (No. 203); (v. also *sub Germany*) and M. Witte's pro-German policy, 202 (No. 193), 205 (No. 195), 209 (No. 197), 214 (No. 201); M. Bompard on, 205–6 (No. 195); defeat of Franco-German-Russian proposal, 205–6 (No. 195), 214 (No. 201).

RUSSIA—(continued).

Foreign policy—(continued).

and Great Britain—(continued).

Anglo-Russian Entente—(continued).

- (c) Preliminary stages, 1905–6, Lord Lansdowne and Count Benckendorff, 5 October, 207–8 (No. 196); Sir C. Hardinge's recall and King Edward's message, Emperor Nicholas II's reply, 215–6 (No. 202), 220–1 (No. 206); Sir E. Grey expresses hopes of reaching an agreement, 18 December, 218 (No. 204); proposals of Count Witte *vid* Dr. Dillon *re* loan, January 1906, 219–20 (No. 205), 221 (No. 207), 227 (No. 211); conversation between Count Lamsdorff and Sir C. Hardinge, 6 January, 622–3 (App. III); Count Benckendorff opens the subject with Sir E. Grey, 26 January, 222–4 (No. 208); too dangerous for King Edward to visit Russia, 227 (No. 211), 230 (No. 214); Count Lamsdorff's message to Sir E. Grey, 227–8 (No. 212); Sir E. Grey denies existence of agreement, 24 May, 232 (No. 217), 236–7 (No. 220); M. Isvolski Foreign Minister and views, 232–6 (Nos. 218–9); Sir A. Nicolson's interviews with, 287–8 (No. 221), and with the Emperor Nicholas II, 288 (No. 222); Emperor's wish for *entente*, 272 (No. 240), 276–7 (No. 255), 288–9 (No. 266); General situation in 1906, Sir A. Nicolson on, 259–60 (No. 243).

- (d) Detailed negotiations (*v.* respectively *sub* Afghanistan, Persia, Thibet).

- (e) Reception of Anglo-Russian Agreement, Sir E. Grey's speeches on, M. Isvolski's pleasure at, 25 December, 1907, 609 (No. 547); British opposition on, 24 February, 1908, 616 (No. 550); M. Isvolski's meeting with King Edward and Sir E. Goschen, 5 September, 1907, 582–4 (No. 528); Emperor Nicholas II on, 23 October, 606 (No. 545); France and, 7 September, 1907, 586 (No. 525); M. Clemenceau on, 9 September, 587–8 (No. 527); Austria-Hungary, public opinion in, favourable, 4 October, 600–1 (No. 541); German press favourable, 1 October, 599–600 (No. 540); but not leaders of opinion, 8 October, 601–2 (No. 542); revival of German *rapprochement* to Russia, 21 October, 604–5 (No. 544), but Germany not really favourable to agreement, 610–1 (No. 548).

RUSSIA—(continued).

Foreign policy—(continued).

and Japan (*v. sub* Japan, and Russo-Japanese War).

and Turkey (*v. also* Austria-Hungary, Russo-Japanese War, Russian Volunteer Fleet, Straits question).

Crete, 222 (No. 208).

Macedonia, Count Lamsdorff on British attitude in, 192 (No. 185), 222 (No. 208); M. Isvolski on, 248–9 (No. 284).

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR.

- (a) Dogger Bank incident, Chap. XXIII, § II *passim*, details of attack, 5, 6 (Nos. 5, 6); Count Lamsdorff on, 7 (No. 7); M. Sazonov on, 7, 8 (No. 8); French press on, 8, 9 (No. 9); Emperor Nicholas on, 9, 10 (No. 11); correspondence of Count Benckendorff with Lord Lansdowne on, 10–14 (Nos. 12, 13); Lord Lansdowne does not accept Russian Admiral's explanation, 14 (No. 14); demands inquiry before Russian fleet leaves Vigo, 16–17 (No. 16); no British destroyers in the North Sea at the time, 17 (No. 17), nor of any other nationality, 8 (No. 8, note); Emperor Nicholas II desires Hague inquiry, 18 (No. 18); Orders to British fleets, 18–19 (No. 19); Russian fleet to remain at Vigo pending inquiry, 19–20 (No. 20), 23 (No. 22), 28–9 (No. 25); Count Benckendorff's anti-crisis statement to be made by Mr. Balfour, 20–1 (No. 20); on Mr. Balfour's speech of 28 October, 1904, public feeling in England, 23–4 (No. 28); M. Paul Cambon on affair, 22 (No. 21); M. Bompard on, 66–7 (No. 58 and min.); German attitude, Emperor William II and, 4 (No. 4, note); French fears *re*, 67–8 (No. 59); Emperor Nicholas II's interview with Sir C. Hardinge on, 26–7 (No. 24); British draft of International Inquiry, Text, 30–1 (No. 25, *encl.* 1), observations of Lord Lansdowne, 31–2 (No. 25, *encl.* 2); Sir C. Hardinge on British naval preparations, 33–5 (No. 26); King Edward on, 35 (No. 28, min.); articles of British draft read by Lord Lansdowne in speech of 9 November, 1904, 36 (No. 27); Russian attempts to alter British Draft defeated, 36 (No. 27, and min.), 36–7 (No. 28); Text in French, 37–8 (No. 29); Count Katsura on incident, 40–1 (No. 31); Award of Commission, 25 February, 1905, 38 (No. 29, note).

- (b) Straits Question and Russian Volunteer Fleet (*v. also* *sub* Straits), Passage of Russian destroyers through Dardanelles, 41 (No. 82, *ed. note*), 48 (No. 85); representation to Turkey as to passage of Russian torpedo boats through Dardanelles, January 1908, 41–2 (No. 82), 44–6 (No. 84), 46 (No. 86); Austro-Hungarian view, 48–4 (No. 83); Turkish

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR—(continued).

- (b) *Straits Question, &c.*—(continued).
 official view *re*, 47 (No. 87), 48 (No. 89, *encl.*); British statement to Japan that they would oppose attempt of Russian Black Sea Fleet to emerge, January–February 1904, 48–9 (No. 40–1); and to France, 50 (No. 48); Japanese reply *re*, 51 (No. 45); "Nemesis" incident, 50 (No. 44), 51 (No. 46), 52 (No. 47); Parliamentary question *re* Straits by Mr. Gibson-Bowles, 49 (No. 42); Refusal of Russia to give written declaration to Turkey not to change flag of Volunteer Fleet after passing the Straits, 52–3 (Nos. 48–9); actual passage of Straits by Volunteer Fleet, 6–11 November, 1904, 53–7 (Nos. 50–5); British Memo. *re*, *Text*, 54 (No. 50, *note*), 56 (No. 58); M. Paul Cambon on, 56–7 (No. 54); Japan on, 57 (No. 55); Sir C. Hardinge's Memo. respecting the passage of Russian War vessels through the Dardanelles and Bosphorus, *Text*, 16 November, 1906, 58–60 (No. 55, *ed. note*).
- (c) *British claims on Russia in connexion with the War, 1904–6*, outstanding, shipping claims arising from destruction of property in the war area and from internal disorders in Russia, *v. Chap. XXIII, § IV*, pp. 60–4 *passim*, and Sir E. Grey on, 618 (No. 550).

Peace negotiations and terms, Japanese and Russian proposals to secure peace just before the outbreak of the war, *Text*, 74–5 (No. 66, *encl.*); negotiations for peace, Sir C. Hardinge on British attitude, 8–4 (No. 8); M. Witte on Russian war aims, 4 (No. 8); Marquis Ito on Japan's war aims, 64–6 (No. 57, *encl.*); Japan on effect of fall of Port Arthur, 12 January, 1905, 68 (No. 80); United States circular *re* disinterestedness of neutrals in regard to China, 69 (No. 61 and *note*), 69–70 (No. 62 and *encl.*), 70–1 (No. 68), 71 (No. 64); Chinese neutrality, 69–70 (No. 62 and *encl.*); 70–1 (No. 68); Japanese desire for mediation expressed to U.S.A. Government, 71–3 (No. 65 and *ed. note*); situation in Russia after battle of Mukden, 75–6 (No. 67); M. Delcassé and, 78 (No. 65, *ed. note*), on prospects, 76–7 (No. 68); M. Witte on, 25 March, 92 (No. 88), 7 May, 77–8 (No. 69); Naval Battle of Tsushima or Sea of Japan, 27–8 May, 78 (No. 70); effects of, 78–9 (No. 71), in Russia, 82–4 (No. 78); President Roosevelt asks Lord Lansdowne if he knows Japan's terms, 2 June, 78–9 (No. 71 and *note*); Lord Lansdowne's speech, 1 June and Russian inquiry *re*, 79 (No. 72); President Roosevelt on situation, 4 June, 79–80 (No. 78); Great Britain does not

RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR—(continued).

Peace negotiations, &c.—(continued).
 object to German pressure on Russia, King Edward on, 80 (No. 78, *min.*); Emperor William II's message *re* peace to Emperor Nicholas II and President Roosevelt on, 80–1 (No. 74 and *ed. note*), 85 (No. 77); President Roosevelt's message to Lord Lansdowne, 82 (No. 75); Russian Council and Emperor Nicholas II on peace, 84 (No. 76); President Roosevelt's suggestion to Emperor Nicholas II, 85 (No. 77); Emperor Nicholas II's reply, 85 (No. 78), 86 (No. 80), 89–90 (No. 86); Lord Lansdowne's attitude in June, 86 (No. 80, *note*), 86 (Nos. 81–2); Japanese attitude, 8–14 June, 85 (No. 79), 86–8 (No. 83); President Roosevelt formally offers good offices, 9 June, which Japan accepts, 10 June, 88 (No. 88, *note*); President Roosevelt on prospects, 88 (No. 84); King Edward and, 89 (No. 85); formal Russian announcement *re* peace negotiations, 28 June, 90 (*ed. note*); M. Witte first plenipotentiary, 91–2 (No. 88); views on indemnity, etc., 93–4 (No. 89); Emperor William II's advice to Emperor Nicholas II and *Treaty of Björko*, 24 July, *Text*, 94–6 (Nos. 90–1 and *ed. note*); press and public opinion in Russia to 15 August, 96–7 (No. 92); Japanese account of negotiations till 18 August, *Text*, 97–8 (No. 93); Japanese terms and Russian reply, *Texts*, 98–102 (No. 94 and *encl.*); German influence on, 102 (No. 94, *encl.* 2, *note* 8); struggle about war expenses and Isle of Sakhalin, 102–4 (Nos. 95–8), 105 (No. 98); President Roosevelt's warnings to Japan, 22–8 August, 104–5 (No. 97); and telegram to Emperor Nicholas II, 25 August, *Text*, 105–6 (No. 99), 106 (No. 100); *Treaty of Portsmouth*, 28 August, 1905, *Text*, 107–11 (No. 101); ratified by Emperor Nicholas II, 1 October, 111 (No. 101); by Emperor of Japan, 14 October, 111 (No. 101, *note* 8).

SAGHALIEN (SAKHALIN), ISLAND OF.
Negotiations re in *Treaty of Portsmouth*, 98 (No. 98), 99–101 (No. 94 and *enc.*), 103 (No. 95), 104 (No. 97), 105–6 (No. 99), 106 (No. 100), 109 (No. 101, Art. 9).

STRAITS QUESTION, DARDANELLES AND.

Black Sea Clauses in *Treaty of Paris*, M. Witte on, 8–4 (No. 8); Count Shuvalov on and Russia's attitude, 1 March, 1906, 226 (No. 210); Sir E. Grey on, 254 (No. 241), 255–5 (No. 242); not in Sir A. Nicolson's instructions, 272–3 (No. 250, and *min.* and *note*); Memo. by Sir E. Grey, 10 March, 1907,

STRAITS QUESTION, &c.—(continued).

Black Sea Clauses, &c.—(continued).

Text, 279–80 (No. 257); Germany to be informed, Count Benckendorff on, 280–1 (No. 258); M. Isvolski on, 288–4 (No. 261), 286 (No. 263); *M. Isvolski's Memo.*, *Text*, 287–8 (No. 265, *encl.*); Lord Fitzmaurice on, 288 (No. 265, *min.*).

Sir E. Grey's counter-memo., 27 April, *Text*, 290–1 (No. 268 and *encl.*); Turkish suspicions *re*, 289 (No. 267), 293 (No. 272), 294 (No. 278); *M. Isvolski's memo.* of 10 July, *Text*, 295–6 (No. 275 and *encl.*), 296 (No. 276).

(v. also *sub Russo-Japanese War.*)

THIBET.

(a) *Conventions, etc.*, *re*, with Great Britain (1890–1903). Great Britain and China Convention, 17 March, 1890, *Terms*, 805 (*ed. note*); Regulations, Sikkim Thibet, 5 December, 1898, 805 (*ed. note*); Indian Government on Thibet's refusal to keep obligations, 8 January, 1903, 305 (*ed. note*); Russia asserts she has no Convention with Thibet, 8 April, 305 (*ed. note*); proposed Conference of Chinese-Thibetan and Anglo-Indian representatives, 29 April, 805 (*ed. note*).

(b) *Younghusband Expedition* 1908 and *Conventions with Thibet and China*, 7 September, 1904 and 27 April, 1906. Secretary of State for India sanctions advance of Colonel Younghusband to Gyang Tse, 6 November, 1908, 805 (*ed. note*); Russian enquiries *re*, 17 November, 806–7 (No. 289); Lord Lansdowne's conversation *re*, 25 November, 186–7 (No. 182); M. Isvolski's inquiry of King Edward, 22 April, 1904, 188–9 (No. 183); Count Lamsdorff's inquiries *re* Thibet, 190 (No. 184); *Lord Lansdowne's Memo.*, 10 May *re* objects of Younghusband expedition, *Text*, 808 (No. 291), 810 (No. 293); Count Lamsdorff on Memo. of 10 May, 1904–2 (No. 185); French report of anxiety of Emperor Nicholas II, 30 May, 809 (No. 292); Austria-Hungary and Thibet, 14 June, 1904, 811 (No. 294); United States and, 29 June, 818 (No. 297); Indian Government's views of points Younghusband should secure from Thibet, 26 June, 80 June, 812 (No. 296), 813–4 (No. 297, *ed. note*); *Anglo-Thibetan Convention*, 7 September, 1904, *Text*, 814–7 (No. 298); ratified, 11 November, 816 (No. 298); Declaration, 7 September, 817 (No. 298); *Times'* version of, and Count Lamsdorff on Russian views, 29 September, 817–8 (No. 299); China's objection to Convention, 23 September, 818–9 (No. 300); Lord Lansdowne's explanation, 29 September, 821 (No. 302); *Anglo-Chinese Convention*, 27 April, 1906,

THIBET—(continued).

(b) *Younghusband Expedition*, &c.—(continued).

Text, 828–8 (No. 305 and *encl.*), 828–7 (No. 220); Sir E. Grey explains to Russia but not Japan, 28 May, 828–7 (No. 220); Sir A. Nicolson to Russia, 7 June, 1906, 829–40 (Nos. 228–4); China to be approached *re* Scientific Missions, 7 September, 1907, 586 (No. 525), 14 October, 808–4 (No. 548 and *encl.*); Concessions and China, 615–6 (No. 549).

(c) *Anglo-Russian negotiations and Arrangement*, 1905–7. Russian complaints of British Resident at Kashgar, 26 September, 1905, 200–1 (No. 192); Russian policy of non-intervention in, 10 April, 1906, 828–7 (No. 306); Sir A. Nicolson instructed as to British bases of negotiation, 23 May, 831 (No. 310), Communicated, 7 June, 829–40 (No. 224), *Text* of Communication, 8 June, 832–8 (No. 311); France, 11 June, 1907, 834 (No. 312); and Japan informed *re*, 15 June, 240–1 (No. 225); Emperor Nicholas II and, 13 June, 834–5 (No. 313); Japan informed by Sir E. Grey, 15 June, 240–1 (No. 225); Foreign Office Memo. on Anglo-Thibetan Agreement, 18 April, 1907, 836–49 (No. 314); *Anglo-Russian Arrangement re Thibet*, 31 August, *Text*, 852–4 (No. 317), 620 (App. I); ratifications exchanged, 23 September, 854 (No. 317); Foreign Office Memo. on 29 January, 1908, 614–5 (No. 549).

(d) *Special questions concerned with Anglo-Russian Arrangement.*

(i) *Geographical sense of term Thibet*, Indian Government's views, 3 July, 1906, 841 (No. 314); M. Isvolski's, 20 July, 1906, 841 (No. 314).

(ii) *Chumbi valley*; importance of, 1904, 817–8 (No. 299), 819–20 (No. 301); Russia on, M. Isvolski, 2 January, 1907, 265–6 (No. 244); and 19 February, 275 (No. 259); British occupation temporary, F.O. Memo., 18 April, 348, 348–9 (No. 314); Anglo-Russian proposal, notes and annexes, *re*, 850–2 (No. 316); *Text* of, annex to Anglo-Russian Arrangement, 31 August, 853–4 (No. 317).

(iii) *Dalai Lama*, Russia and proposed return of, Emperor Nicholas II's telegram, 5 April, 827 (No. 306, *encl.*); British view of, 10 April, 1906, 827–8 (No. 307); British memo. on, *Text*, 2 May, 829–30 (No. 309 and *encl.*); Summary of question, 839 (No. 314).

(iv) *Scientific Missions*, Russia and, 1906, 840–1 (No. 314); letters of Sir A. Nicolson and M. Isvolski, *Texts*, 31 August, 1907, 854–5 (Nos. 318 (a) (b)); China and, 7 September, 586 (No. 525).

TREATIES, CONVENTIONS, AGREEMENTS, DECLARATIONS, &c.

Afghanistan. Russo-British arrangement *re*, 31 August, 1907, *Text*, 541-4 (No. 483).

Alliance, Triple, 211 (No. 198).

Anglo-French Entente, Emperor Nicholas II on, 216 (No. 202).

Anglo-Japanese Alliance, 1902, *renewal*, 12 August, 1905, *Text*, 164-9 (No. 155).

Anglo-Persian, 1857, 277 (No. 256), 440 (No. 895, *encl.*).

Anglo-Russian Convention, 31 August, 1907, *Text*, (*Thibet*), 352-4 (No. 817), (*Persia*), 502-4 (No. 456, *encl.*), (*Afghanistan*), 541-4 (No. 483), *Text of whole Convention*, 618-20 (*App. I.*).

Anglo-Thibetan (*v.* Thibet).

Corean-Japanese (*v.* Japanese-Corean).

Franco-Russian Alliance, 1898. Terms not known to Great Britain, 256 (No. 248).

Japan and Corea, Agreement, 22 August, 1904, *Text*, 118 (No. 103, *note*); Treaty accepting Japanese protectorate, 17 November, 1905, *Text*, 118-9 (No. 109), also, 118 (No. 108).

Khedivial Decree, accepted by Russia, 191-3 (No. 184-6 and *note*).

Paris, Treaty of, 30 March, 1856, clauses of, M. Witte on, 8-4 (No. 8); Count Shuvalov on, 226 (No. 210); *Memo. by Sir E. Grey*, 15 March, 1907, *re*, *Text*, 279-80 (No. 257); *Memo. by M. Ievolski*, *Text*, 287-8 (No. 285, *encl.*); *Sir E. Grey's counter memo.*, 27 April, *Text*, 290-1 (No. 268 and *encl.*); *M. Ievolski's memo.*, 10 July, *Text*, 295-6 (No. 275 and *encl.*), 296 (No. 276).

Persia. Anglo-Persian Treaty, 1857, 277 (No. 256), 440 (No. 895, *encl.*); Anglo-Russian arrangements *re*, in Convention, 31 August, 1907, 502-4 (No. 456 and *encl.*).

Persian Gulf. British Declaration to Russia *re*, 29 August, 1907, *Text*, 501-2 (No. 455).

Portsmouth. Russo-Japanese Treaty, negotiations, 68-111 (Nos. 60-101), *passim*; Treaty, 28 August, 1905, *Text*, 107-11 (No. 101), ratified, Russia, 1 October, Japan, 14 October, 111 (No. 101 and *note*).

Russo-German, *Björko*, between the two Emperors, 24 July, 1905, *Text*, 94-6 (Nos. 90-1 and *ed. note*).

Commercial Treaty, 1904, 4-5 (No. 4).

TREATIES, &c.—(continued).

Russo-Japanese, Agreement, 1907, 268-9 (Nos. 246-7), 278 (No. 250), 274-5 (No. 252), 299 (No. 280).

Treaty of Portsmouth, 28 August, 1905, *Text*, 107-11 (No. 101), ratified by Russia, 1 October, 111 (No. 101), by Emperor of Japan, 14 October, 111 (No. 101, *note 2*).

Thibet. Chinese-British Convention of Calcutta, 17 March, 1890, 805 (*ed. note*). Chinese-British Regulations, 5 December, 1893, 805 (*ed. note*).

British-Thibetan Convention, Lhassa, 7 September, 1904, *Text*, 814-7 (No. 298), ratified, 11 November, 1904, 818 (No. 298).

Chinese-British Convention *re* Thibet, 27 April, 1906, *Text*, 824-5 (No. 805, *encl.*); ratifications exchanged, 28 July, 1906, 824 (No. 305, *note*).

Russo-British arrangement *re* Thibet, *Text*, 31 August, 1907, 352-4 (No. 817); ratifications exchanged, 28 September, 854 (No. 817, *note*).

TSUSHIMA (OR BATTLE OF SEA OF JAPAN).

Naval victory of Japan, 27-8 May, 1905, 78 (No. 70), 78-9 (No. 71 and *note*), 82 (No. 76).

TURKEY.

Crete, 222 (No. 208). Macedonia (*v. sub* Austria-Hungary and Russia). Persia (*q.v.*). Straits question, Russian volunteer fleet and (*v. also sub* Russo-Japanese War), 41-2 (No. 82), 44-6 (No. 34), 47 (No. 37), 48 (No. 89, *encl.*), 52-5 (Nos. 48-55); Suspicions of Anglo-Russian negotiations *re*, (1907), 289 (No. 267), 294 (No. 273).

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

and China (*q.v.*). and Russo-Japanese War (*q.v.*).

WEI-HAI-WEI (*v. also* Corea).

British inquiry and Japanese desire that England should retain, as long as Germany held Kiao-chau, 114-8 (Nos. 104-7); erroneous reports *re*, 199 (No. 191); attitude of Mr. Runciman and Sir Edward Grey, 116 (No. 106, *note*).